Docket DE 09-114 Exhibit No. 2

## STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

v

ć

# PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE TRANSMISSION COST ADJUSTMENT MECHANISM

# PREPARED TESTIMONY OF STEPHEN R. HALL

1	Q.	Please state your name, business address and your present position.
2	A.	My name is Stephen R. Hall. My business address is PSNH Energy Park, 780 North
3		Commercial Street, Manchester, New Hampshire. I am Rate and Regulatory Services
4		Manager for Public Service Company of New Hampshire ("PSNH").
5	Q.	Have you previously testified before the Commission?
6	А.	Yes, I have testified on numerous occasions before the Commission over the past
7		twenty-seven years.
8	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?
9	А.	The purpose of my testimony is to propose transmission prices for effect August 1, 2009
10		under the Transmission Cost Adjustment Mechanism (TCAM). My testimony proposes
11		specific rates and charges for transmission based on the transmission revenue requirement
12		contained in the attachments to Mr. Baumann's June 12, 2009 testimony.
13	Q.	Have you calculated specific rates and charges for transmission for all rate classes?
14	А.	Yes, we have. The proposed rates and charges are included in Attachment SRH-1.
15	0	Please describe generally the transmission pricing rate design contained in Attachment
10	Q.	a rease deserve generally the transmission pricing rate design contained in Attachment
10		SKH-1.

1 A. The design of transmission prices was contained in the settlement agreement in Docket No. 2 DE 06-028. The settlement describes the design of transmission pricing for Backup Delivery Service Rate B specifically, and for under all other rate classes in general. For 3 Rate B, the settlement provides that transmission costs be recovered through a demand 4 charge, and it splits the demand charge into two components for rate calculation purposes: 5 a base component and an incremental component<sup>1</sup>. The settlement agreement describes the 6 7 cost allocation for the base component, and it also states that other transmission prices will 8 be calculated through an equi-proportional adjustment.

9 Q. Please describe how the base component of the Rate B demand charge was determined. 10 А. First, the ratio of average Rate B demands to average total PSNH demands at the time of 11 the monthly NU system peaks was calculated. The calculation of that ratio is shown on 12 Page 2 of Attachment SRH-2. Once the ratio was calculated, the Rate B base component revenue requirement for the forecast period was determined by multiplying the ratio by the 13 total transmission revenue requirement for the forecast period included in Mr. Baumann's 14 Attachment RAB-1. The Rate B base component forecasted revenue requirement is shown 15 16 on line 7 of Page 1 of Attachment SRH-2. The base component reconciliation from the 17 prior period was then added to the base component forecasted revenue requirement to 18 determine the total base component revenue requirement (line 11 of Page 1 of Attachment 19 SRH-2). The Rate B base component rate was then determined by dividing the total base component revenue requirement by projected billing demand. As shown on Page 1 of 20 21 Attachment SRH-2, that calculation produces a Rate B base component rate of \$0.81 per 22 kW or kVA per month.

23 Q. How did you calculate the base component reconciliation?

A. The base component reconciliation calculation is shown on Page 3 of Attachment SRH-2.
It was calculated by multiplying the prior period transmission revenue requirement by the
base component ratio for the prior period. The base component revenue for the prior
period was then subtracted from the base component revenue requirement to determine the
base component reconciliation (in this case, an under-recovery).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For billing purposes, the two components are summed so only one demand charge is billed.

1 Q. How did you forecast the data to perform the calculations described above?

A. For the contribution to the monthly NU system peaks, we used historical data as a proxy
for what will occur in the prospective period because there is no reasonable way to forecast
Rate B contributions to peak load. The projected billing demand for Rate B was based on
historic data, with adjustments that could reasonably be anticipated. For total transmission
revenue requirements, we used the data provided in Mr. Baumann's testimony.

7 Q. How did you calculate all other transmission rates and charges?

8 А. The transmission rate calculations were based on billing determinants for the 2008 test 9 year. On Attachment SRH-3, we multiplied the forecasted TCAM rate provided in Mr. 10 Baumann's attachment by test year MWH sales to produce the target transmission revenue for the test year. From that test year revenue requirement, we subtracted special pricing 11 12 revenue imputed at the average transmission rate level and the Rate B base component revenue which was calculated based on test year billing determinants on Attachment SRH-13 14 4. The result of this subtraction is the amount to be recovered from all other customers. 15 Revenue and the resulting rates and charges were determined by proportionally adjusting 16 all currently-effective revenue and rates to the level necessary to recover the transmission 17 revenue requirement net of the Rate B base amount. The allocation of transmission 18 revenue to class under this methodology is shown on Attachment SRH-3.

- 19 Q. Does this complete your testimony?
- 20 A. Yes, it does.

#### Attachment SRH-1 Dated: June 19, 2009

#### PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE TRANSMISSION COST ADJUSTMENT MECHANISM (TCAM) CALCULATION TRANSMISSION RATES PROPOSED FOR EFFECT ON AUGUST 1, 2009

1 2 3				(1) Current Rates	08	(2) 8/01/2009
4			[	Effective	Р	roposed
5	Rate	Blocks	<u>0</u> 7	7/01/2008		<u>Rates</u>
7	R		\$	0.01035	\$	0.01303
, 8		,	Ψ	0.01000	Ŷ	0.01000
9						
10	Uncontrolled Water Heating	All KWH	\$	0.00800	\$	0.01007
11						
12						
13	Controlled Water Heating	All KWH	\$	0.00800	\$	0.01007
14						
10	R-OTOD	On-neak KW/H	\$	0.01035	\$	0.01303
17	14-0100	Off-neak KWH	Ψ \$	0.00676	Ψ \$	0.00851
18	~~ <del>.</del>		Ŷ	0.00070	Ŷ	0.0000
19						
20	G	Load charge (over 5 KW)	\$	2.68	\$	3.37
21						
22		First 500 KWH	\$	0.00964	\$	0.01213
23		Next 1,000 KWH	\$	0.00363	\$	0.00457
24		All additional KWH	\$	0.00195	\$	0.00245
20 26						
20	Space Heating		\$	0 00964	\$	0.01213
28	opuoerrouting	,	Ψ	0.00001	Ψ	0.01210
29						
30	G-OTOD	Load charge	\$	1.77	\$	2.23
31						
32						
33	LCS	Radio-controlled option	\$	0.00800	\$	0.01007
34		8-nour option	\$ ¢	0.00800	\$ ¢	0.01007
30		to or th-nour option	φ	0.00000	Φ	0.01007
37						
38	GV	First 100 KW	\$	3.58	\$	4.51
39		All additional KW	\$	3.58	\$	4.51
40						
41						
42	LG	Demand charge	\$	3.52	\$	4.43
43						
44 15	P	Domand charge	¢	0.25	¢	1 10
40 46	D	Demanu charge	Φ	0.25	Ф	1.10
47						
48	OL, EOL	All KWH	\$	0.00708	\$	0.00891
					•	

Notes:

.

(1) Current rates are based on a retail average transmission rate of 0.940 ¢/KWH.

(2) Proposed rates are based on a retail average transmission rate of 1.195 ¢/KWH.
 The calculation of the Rate B charge is shown on Attachment SRH-4. All other rates have been calculated by equi-proportionally adjusting current rates by the ratio necessary to recover the remaining transmission revenue requirement.

Attachment SRH-2 Dated: June 19, 2009 Page 1

## PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE TRANSMISSION COST ADJUSTMENT MECHANISM (TCAM) CALCULATION RATE B CUSTOMERS

## 1 Base Component Revenue Requirement

•

.

2			
3	Total Transmission Revenue Requirement	\$ 85,216,000	RAB-1, Page 1, Line 13
4			
5	Times Base Component Ratio	<u>0.49542%</u>	SRH-2, Page 2
6			
7	Base Component Forecasted Revenue Requirement	\$ 422,177	
8			
9	Base Component Reconciliation	\$ 399,287	SRH-2 Page 3
10			
11	Base Component Revenue Requirement	\$ 821,464	
12			
13	Rate B Projected Billing Demand	1,011,268	
14			
15	Rate B Base Component (L11/L13)	\$ 0.81	per kW or kVA

### PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE TRANSMISSION COST ADJUSTMENT MECHANISM (TCAM) CALCULATION RATE B CUSTOMERS

1	1 Contribution to NU System Peak (KW)									
2	Period Ending 7/31/09	Ð		Ratio of						
3				Rate B to						
4		<u>Rate B</u>	Total PSNH	Total PSNH						
5										
6	Jul '08	9,345	1,508,181							
7	Aug	9,090	1,405,016							
8	Sep	1,715	1,365,700							
9	Oct	1,368	1,164,590							
10	Nov	1,347	1,271,782							
11	Dec	10,077	1,416,229							
12	Jan '09	1,511	1,360,671							
13	Feb	8,871	1,336,775							
14	Mar	10,957	1,264,363							
15	Apr	9,404	1,139,885							
16	May (1)	4,008	1,156,606							
17	Jun (1)	9,338	1,536,915							
18	Jul(1)	9,345	1,508,181							
19	Average	6,644	1,341,146	0.49542%						

(1) Estimated data

,

з.

Attachment SRH-2 Dated: June 19, 2009 Page 3

### PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE TRANSMISSION COST ADJUSTMENT MECHANISM (TCAM) CALCULATION RATE B CUSTOMERS

.

2

1 2	Estimated Base Component Reconciliation, 13 months ending July 31, 2009										
3 4	Prior Period Transmission Revenue Requirement:										
5	Retail Transmision Operating Costs	\$	83,342,000	RAB-1, Pages 4 & 5, line 17							
6	(Over)/Underrecovery, period ending 6/30/08	\$	2,006,000	RAB-1, Page 4, line 21							
7	Return on monthly (over)/underrecovery, period ending 6/30/09	\$	77,000	RAB-1, Pages 4 & 5, line 36							
8											
9	Prior Period Transmission Revenue Requirement	\$	85,425,000								
10											
11	Times Base Component Ratio		<u>0.49542%</u>	SRH-2, Page 2							
12											
13	Prior Period Base Component Revenue Requirement	\$	423,213								
14											
15	Base Component Reconciliation for 12-Month Period Ending 6/30/08	\$	(227,971)	SRH-2, Page 5							
16											
17	Total Base Component Revenue Requirement	\$	195,242								
18											
19 20	Base Component Revenue (actual through 5/09; 6 - 7/09 estimated)	\$	23,926								
20	Estimated Base Component Reconciliation, 13 months ending 7/31/09	\$	399 287								
<u>د</u> ا	Estimated base component reconciliation, 15 months ending 75705	Ψ	555,207								

### PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE TRANSMISSION COST ADJUSTMENT MECHANISM (TCAM) CALCULATION RATE B CUSTOMERS

.

1	1 Actual Contribution to NU System Peak (KW)										
2	Period Ending 6/30/0	8		Ratio of							
3				Rate B to							
4		<u>Rate B</u>	Total PSNH	Total PSNH							
5											
6	Jul '07	8,112	1,362,821								
7	Aug	5,219	1,670,761								
8	Sep	3,996	1,449,552								
9	Oct	265	1,117,594								
10	Nov	7,536	1,253,042								
11	Dec	449	1,408,513								
12	Jan '08	7,009	1,423,900								
13	Feb	-	1,318,645								
14	Mar	1,801	1,217,368								
15	Apr	20,340	1,130,794								
16	May	8,720	1,190,783								
17	Jun	9,338	1,689,904								
18											
19	Average	6,065	1,352,806	0.44836%							

Attachment SRH-2 Dated: June 19, 2009 Page 5

. . .

### PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE TRANSMISSION COST ADJUSTMENT MECHANISM (TCAM) CALCULATION RATE B CUSTOMERS

×

\$

1	1 Actual Base Component Reconciliation, 12 months ending June 30, 2008								
2									
3	Prior Period Transmission Revenue Requirement:								
4									
5	Retail Transmision Operating Costs	\$	62,004,000						
6	(Over)/Underrecovery, period ending 6/30/07	\$	2,036,000						
7	Return on monthly (over)/underrecovery, period ending 6/30/08	<u>\$</u>	(14,000)						
8									
9	Prior Period Transmission Revenue Requirement	\$	64,026,000						
10									
11	Times Base Component Ratio		<u>0.44836%</u> SRH-2, Page 4						
12									
13	Prior Period Base Component Revenue Requirement	\$	287,066						
14									
15	Base Component Reconciliation for 12-Month Period Ending 6/30/07	\$	<u> </u>						
16									
17	Total Base Component Revenue Requirement	\$	287,066						
18									
19	Actual Base Component Revenue, Period Ending 6/30/08	\$	515,037						
20									
21	Actual Base Component Reconciliation, 12 months ending 6/30/08	\$	(227,971)						

#### PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE TRANSMISSION COST ADJUSTMENT MECHANISM (TCAM) CALCULATION ALLOCATION OF AUGUST 1, 2009 TRANSMISSION REVENUE TO CLASS BASED ON BILLING DETERMINANTS FOR THE 2008 TEST YEAR

1 2 3	Retail delivery sales for the 2008 test year Forecasted TCAM Rate (from Attachment RAB-1, Target transmission revenue based on the test ye	Pag ar:	ge 1, Line <sup>-</sup> Line (1) x I	17) .ine (2)			7, \$ \$	970,952 0.01195 95.253	MWH per KWH (000)
4	Special pricing delivery sales included in Line (1)		Ψ	12.982	MWH				
5	Transmission revenue from special pricing at prop	ose	d rate leve	I: Line (2	) x Line (4)	:	\$	155	(000)
6	Rate B Base Component Revenue, based on the	test	year (from	Attachm	ent SRH-4)	:	\$	1,002	(000)
7	Transmission revenue to be recovered from all oth	ner c	lasses: L	.ine (3) - L	.ine (5) - Lir	ie (6)	\$	94,096	(000)
8					. ,				. ,
9									
10									
11			(1)		(2)			(3)	(4)
12									
13		Re	venue at	08	/01/2009				
14	Standard Tariff Customers	07	/01/2008	R	evenue			<u>Incr</u>	ease
15	excluding Rate B Base Component	Ra	ate Level		Target			<u>\$</u>	<u>%</u>
16									
17	Residential Rates R, R-OTOD	\$	31,883	\$	40,134	:	\$	8,250	25.88%
18									
19	General Service Rates G, G-OTOD		16,903		21,276			4,374	25.88%
20									
21	Primary General Service Rate GV		15,709		19,775			4,065	25.88%
22	GV Rate B - incremental component only		7		9			2	25.88%
23									
24	Large General Service Rate LG		9,669		12,171			2,502	25.88%
25	LG Rate B - incremental component only		277		349			72	25.88%
26									
27	Outdoor Lighting Rates OL, EOL		304		383			79	<u>25.88%</u>
28									
29	Total	\$	74,753	\$	94,096	:	\$	19,343	25.88%
30									
31									
32	Special Pricing Customers, at Retail Average	Rate	е						
33	Rate LG (12,982 MWH)		122		155			33	27.13%
34									
35									
36	Rate B Base Component								
37	GV Rate B - base component	\$	1	\$	26	:	\$	25	3950.00%
38	LG Rate B - base component		24		976			952	<u>3950.00</u> %
39	Total	\$	25	\$	1,002	:	\$	977	3950.00%
40									
41									
42	Total, all customers	\$	74,900	\$	95,253	:	\$	20,353	27.17%
43									
44									
45	Total Rate B, incremental plus base:								
46	Rate GV	\$	8	\$	35	:	\$	27	339.80%
47	Rate LG				1,325			1,023	<u>339.81%</u>
48	Total	\$	309	\$	1,360	:	\$	1,050	339.81%

Notes:

7

.

(1) The result of applying rates effective July 1, 2008 to test year billing determinants.

(2) Special pricing revenue was imputed at the overall average rate. The Rate B base component was taken from Attachment SRH-4. Revenue targets for all other classes were calculated by equi-proportionally adjusting current revenues.

(3) Column (2) - Column (1).

(4) Column (3) / Column (1).

.

### PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE TRANSMISSION COST ADJUSTMENT MECHANISM (TCAM) CALCULATION CALCULATION OF TRANSMISSION REVENUE AND RATES FOR RATE B CUSTOMERS BASED ON SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT ARTICLE V, SECTION 5.1.1. AND BILLING DETERMINANTS FOR THE 2008 TEST YEAR

1 2	(1)		(2)		(3)	A	(4) Mocated		(5)	Tota	(6) al Base
3 4	Test Year Billing	E Com	Base nponent	Rev	venue from Base	Rev In	venue from cremental	Incr Cor	remental mponent f Rato	Incr	Plus emental
6	Demanu	01	Rate	<u> </u>	Jomponent		omponent	<u>0</u>	<u>rale</u>	Ī	Tale
7 Rate B customers on Rate GV 8 9	31,735	\$	0.81	\$	25,705.35	\$	9,188.11	\$	0.29	\$	1.10
10 Rate B customers on Rate LG 11 12	1,204,724	\$	0.81		975,826.44	_3	48,787.03	\$	0.29	\$	1.10
13 Total Rate B customers	1,236,459			\$ 1	,001,531.79	\$3	57,975.13 <sup>-</sup>				

(2) From Attachment SRH-2, Page 1.

(3) Column (1) x Column (2).

\$

(4) From Attachment SRH-3, Column (2), Lines 22 and 25.

(5) Column (4) / Column (1).

(6) Column (2) + Column (5).